Approved For Release 2005/11/23 : CIA-RDP80B01554R003400120028-6

11 APR 1978

MEMORANDUM FOR: Deputy Director of Central Intelligence

FROM: Director of Central Intelligence

SUBJECT: Agency Fitness Report System

Under my policy of direct communication with the Director, I recently received a memorandum with the following comments on the Agency fitness report system:

a. "Agency fitness reports are short and not fully comprehensive. They appear not to be the most important thing in terms of ascending to better jobs, obtaining choice assignments, etc."

My comment: It seems to me as we emphasize the panel system and its inviolability, we should give publicity to the fact that this makes the fitness report an increasingly important item for our personnel. We should both emphasize the importance of its being filled out properly and when we review the form itself we should perhaps make it more comprehensive so that it can form the basis for judgments on promotion and assignments.

b. "Guidance on doing Agency fitness reports seems generalized and vague. Guidance seems to be Directoratewide rather than Agency-wide."

My comment: My inclination is that the Office of Personnel should manage the fitness report system, including the publication of the instructions on how to fill them out and the retention of fitness reports in a centralized location. The Office of Personnel should be responsible for ensuring each employee's fitness report file is complete, and for resolving

25X1

any controversies that may evolve over it. In short, if an employee takes any exception to his fitness report or if a rating officer makes comment on topics which are not proper or relevant (and I have seen some of that), there should be an impartial Agency office that reviews these and keeps tabs on them. We should be able to turn to the Office of Personnel at any time and expect to obtain an up-to-date, complete fitness report file on any employee.

c. "At least in cases of clerical fitness reports, I have discovered gaps in at least two cases. When I noted this, no one seemed overly concerned and I had to infer from this that this sort of thing was being tolerated and was not necessarily career-damaging."

My comment: Same as above.

d. "The folklore among numerous NFAC personnel is that fitness reports written in the DDO are consistently marked higher than in NFAC. Many NFAC personnel seem to resent this since it is felt that it gives the DDOers an advantage in obtaining the better jobs and in gaining promotions."

My comment: We'll have to work to bring this down. It is my understanding there is a considerable difference in the number of Outstandings between our four Directorates. (I can't remember which, but it seems to me two were high and two were low.) At the same time, it seems to me that we should emphasize that promotions are determined on a Directorate basis, at least up to supergrade; therefore, this kind of inflation does not have a major impact on the individual. In turn, I wonder if we shouldn't make the supergrade promotions an annual affair on an Agencywide basis. Clearly, we would have to assign quotas so that we didn't end up with GS-16s we could not assign, for instance, but it might be healthy if one panel looked across the Agency to see what kind of talent we were bringing up in what areas and where there could be useful cross-fertilization. Further, it would emphasize the "general" nature of the duties of supergrades.

Alabiata, Lind In Sin

Approved For Release 2005/11/23: CIA RDP80B01554R003400120028-6

e. "Should there be a requirement to note such specific skills as language and level of proficiency in fitness reports?"

My comment: Seems highly desirable.

* STANSFIELD TURNER

cc: DDA

D/Personnel

Approved For Release 2005/11/23 : CIA-RDP80B01554R003400120028-6

25X1

Approved For Release 2005/11/23en CIA-RDP80B01554R003400120028-6

Washington, D. C. 20505

11 April 1978

Dear	Landis,
performit repuman, have	has been a most valuable asset to ersonally and to the Agency as a whole. His ormance is proof positive that your selection eria and assignment policy deserve the superb tation they enjoy. has been my right-hand working on the very difficult legal issues which arisen from the flurry of recent legislative vity affecting intelligence operations. His fresh ght and legal background have proven invaluable.

A difficult aspect of accepting a White House Fellow is the requirement for highly sensitive clearances for such a brief period. We had no difficulty with this in _____ case, but I do feel that extra care should be used in selecting his replacement because of the degree of exposure to highly classified material.

I look forward to next year's Fellow.

Yours,

STANSFIELD TURNER

Mr. W. Landis Jones Director President's Commission on White House Fellowships The White House Washington, D.C. 20500

25X1

25X1

25X1

The Director Approved For Release 2005/11/23 ALA REPRESENTATION OF THE DIRECTOR OF THE DIRECTO



11 April 1978

Dear Mr. Sands,

Thank you for your letter of March 15th. I am gratified that you feel the Agency could be of service to you in preparing for your Jamboree in Iran. I recall with great affection the years I spent in scouting and the guidance and purpose the BSA gave to me.

I do feel, however, after reading your letter and talking with my staff, that your needs would be much better served by asking the State Department to brief you on events in Iran. As you know, the data we compile is just not directed toward satisfying the type of information which would be helpful to you in your present capacity. I am sorry I cannot be of more help but certainly do not want to take up your time in arranging a briefing which most likely would not serve your needs.

My very best wishes for a most successful Jamboree.

Yours sincerely,

STANSFIELD TURNER

Mr. James W. Sands Director, International Division National Office - Boy Scouts of America North Brunswick, New Jersey 08902